



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
Martin Prieto Rodriguez,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: *CR 93-806-AHM*

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING
[Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6);
18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of California for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her ~~probation~~ [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

- A. ☒ The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on unknown background + bail resources, history of warrants

1 and supervised release violation, lack of compliance on
2 supervised release
3 _____
4 _____

and/or

5 B. () The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by
6 clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose
7 a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
8 released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9 on: _____
10 _____
11 _____
12 _____
13 _____

14 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15 the further revocation proceedings.

16
17 Dated: _____

5/30/12

18 Jean Rosenbluth
JEAN ROSENBLUTH
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28